

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,800	06/27/2001	Robert Andrew Byers JR.	1535-001	9649
7:	590 10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
Lawson, & Persson, P.C.			THEIN, MARIA TERESA T	
Suite 103 67 Water Street	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Laconia, NH 03246			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
t ·		
Office Action Summary	09/892,800	BYERS ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Marissa Thein	3625
Period for Reply	gears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron a, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> .	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So attion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings filed on June 27, 2001 are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the body of the claim. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. For example in claim 1, the body of the claim such as the "identifying....", the "transmitting.....", etc. is not in the technological arts. There is no structural or functional interrelationship with these method steps. Therefore, the claim is nothing more than an abstract idea, which is not tied to any technological art and is not a useful art. *Ex parte Bowman*, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (BD, Pats. App. & Inter. 2001). See MPEP 2106 IV 2(b)

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 9-11 have no connection to the technological arts. The phrase "receiving a signal" has no connection to the technological arts that enables a useful, concrete, tangible result. A signal per se is not the type of subject matter that is considered statutory. The "receiving a signal" is interpreted as an abstract arrangement that is transmitted or is a transmission in transit.

Art Unit: 3625

Therefore, the claims are directed towards non-statutory subject matter. To overcome this rejection the Examiner recommends the Applicant to amend the claims to better clarify what is being performed to the computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9-19, 23-33 and 37-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,970,475 to Barnes et al.

Regarding claim 1, Barnes discloses a method for selling products over an electronic network, the method comprising the steps of:

identifying a user (see at least col. 6, lines 38-40; col. 6, lines 45-48);

transmitting a user specific order entry form, the order entry form comprising at least one user specific product, a user specific price for the at least one product and a quantity entry field (see at least col. 6, lines 54-65; col. 9, lines 33-35; col. 9, lines 51-54; col. 19, lines 56-58)

receiving a quantity of at least one product entered into the quantity entry field by the user (see at least col. 18, lines 42-44; col. 21, lines 48-50);

transmitting a shopping cart comprising each of the at least one product selected by the user (see at least col. 18, lines 45-54; col. 22, lines 46-54; Figure 11; and

Art Unit: 3625

receiving an instruction from the user to process an order (see at least col. 22, lines 46-61; Figure 11).

Regarding claims 2-5, Barnes discloses the user specific entry form that further comprises an internal part number associated with at least one previously ordered product (see at least Ref. no. 133, Figure 11; col. 22, lines 48-49); transmitting a user specific order template, the order template further comprising at least one previously ordered product (see at least Figures 11-12; col. 22, lines 46-53); transmitting a user specific order template that further comprises a new product entry field (see at least Figures 11-12; col. 22, liens 46-53); a user specific order template, the order template further comprising at least one previously quoted product (see at least Figures 11-12; col. 22, liens 46-53).

Regarding claims 9-11, Barnes discloses an icon having a link to a product information order entry form; an icon having a link to a peer review form; and an icon having a link to a product protocol form (see at least col. 22, line 46-col. 23, line 22; Figures 9, 11-13).

Regarding claims 12-14, Barnes discloses sort filed; transmitting a payment option form and receiving an instruction from the user to utilize a specified payment option; and transmitting a facility option form and receiving an instruction from the user to utilized a specified facility option (see at least col. 8, lines 36-61; col. 18, lines 42-55; col. 23, lines 36-43; col. 25, lines 26-40).

Regarding claims 15-19, the claims recite a computer program product that are parallel in scope to claims 1-5 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claims 23-28, the claims recite a computer program product that are parallel in scope to claims 9-14 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Regarding claims 29-33, the claims recite a system that are parallel in scope to claims 1-5 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Regarding claims 37-42, the claims recite a system that are parallel in scope to claims 9-14 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8, 20-22 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No.5,970,475 to Barnes et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,712,989 to Johnson et al.

Regarding claims 6-8, Barnes substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, Barnes does not disclose the specified time period and date range. Barnes discloses all users can view status and history of orders and generate reports (see at least Figures 11-12; col. 22, lines 46-53). Furthermore, Barnes discloses the Bank server can provide various reports on Customer transactions, where all ACH transactions have a full event history (see at least col. 18, lines 23-30). Moreover, Barnes discloses the customer or buyer has access to select audit existing reports or access "user-defined reports" at which the user can define in a format that is useful to

Art Unit: 3625

the user (see at least col. 23, lines 43-48). Johnson, on the other hand, teaches the specified time period an date range (see at least col. 36, lines 13-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method of Barnes to include the specified time period and date range, as taught by Johnson, in order to provide detailed records of purchaser orders issued by the customer (Johnson col. 36, lines 13-17).

Regarding claims 20-22, the claims recite a computer program product that are parallel in scope to claims 6-8 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Regarding claims 34-36, the claims recite a system that are parallel in scope to claims 6-8 above and are rejected under similar grounds.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,026,376 to Kenney discloses an interactive electronic shopping system for making shopping more convenient.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-5246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Smith can be reached on 703-308-3588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mtot September 26, 2004

> Jeffrey A/Smith Primary Examiner